

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 5 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.
Date: 1 0 FFB 7016

MISC. APPLICATION NO.460 OF 2015 IN REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 32 OF 15 IN ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 717 OF 2012. (Sub:-Seniority List, Promotion)

1. Shri Mukesh S. Nagulpelli R/at. Flat No.12, Kapesh Apartments, Shivaji Chowk Talegaon Dabhade, Pune-410507.

....APPLICANT/S.

VERSUS

- 1 The State Of Maharashtra, Through The Secretary, G.A.D., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
- 3 The Director, Govt. Photographic Press & Photo Graphic Export, Maharashtra State, Netaji Subhash Chandra Marg, Charni Road, Mumbai-400 004.
- 2 The Manager, Govt. Photographic Press & Photo Zinco Maharashtra State, 5, Photo Zinco Press, Pune-1

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to: The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s abovenamed has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **09**th day of **February, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE:

Smt. P. Mahajan, Advocate for the Applicant. Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. For the Respondents.

CORAM

HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.

HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER(J).

DATE

09.02.2016.

ORDER

Order Copy Enclosed/ Order Copy Over Leaf.

Research Officer, Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, Mumbai.

ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

of 20

of 20

INUATION SHEET NO.

Tribunal's orders

M.A.460/15 in R.A.32/2015 in O.A.717/2012

These two proceedings can be disposed of by this common order.

The application for condonation of delay being the subject matter of MA is hereby allowed. The delay is condoned and the RA is taken up for final disposal.

We have perused the record and proceedings of the disposed of OA as well as the RA and heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

It is not really necessary for us to make a very detailed foray into the facts such as they were in the disposed of OA and it would be suffice to mention that in so far as this RA is concerned, ex-facie and going by the submissions made at the Bar, particularly in a most fair manner by the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the "error" was not really the error in the final order of the OA, but in fact, this error was there in the application itself. That being the state of affairs, in a RA, such an error or by whatever name one might call, it cannot be even considered much less discussed and allowed. But we make it very clear that as to the contents of our final order as well as everything that is there as a fall out and as an implication remain unaffected. With this, the RA is dismissed with no order as to costs.

> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 09.02.2016

(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman 09.02.2016

Fred 10/21/

Assit Registrar / Research Officers

Myberashica Administrative Tribunal

(skw)